

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Norfolk Division**

CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.,
individually and on behalf of NORFOLK
& PORTSMOUTH BELT LINE
RAILROAD COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-530-MSD-RJK

NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY
COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

_____ /

ORDER

The matter comes before the Court pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5 and Plaintiff CSX Transportation, Inc.’s (“CSX”) Motion to Seal its unredacted Consolidated Opposition to Defendant Norfolk & Portsmouth Belt Line Railroad Company’s Motions in Limine (the “Opposition”), together with Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 thereto (collectively, the “Sealed Materials”). CSX has represented that these documents contain and/or reference information that have been designated as “Confidential” or “Confidential—Attorneys Eyes Only” under the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this matter. ECF No. 79.

Before this Court may seal documents, it must: “(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives.” *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000) (citations omitted).

In compliance with Local Civil Rule 5(C) and *Ashcraft*, CSX provided public notice of this

Motion by filing an appropriate Notice with the Court. In support of sealing, CSX argued that the Sealed Materials, contain, discuss, and characterize highly confidential and sensitive information relating to the Parties' and non-parties the Virginia Port Authority's ("VPA") and Virginia International Terminals, LLC's ("VIT") transportation and shipping practices, negotiations with customers and stakeholders, business strategy, internal communications, and other highly confidential, proprietary, and sensitive business information, release of which could harm the Parties, VPA, and VIT.

There are no less drastic alternatives to sealing: CSX filed a redacted version of its Opposition and Exhibit 3 such that only information designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only" has been redacted and, given the nature of the confidential information contained therein, redaction of Exhibits 1 and 2 is impractical.

Based on the foregoing, the Court FINDS that the information at issue constitutes confidential and proprietary information, and that less drastic alternatives to sealing the Sealed Materials are not feasible.

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS CSX's Motion to Seal and ORDERS that CSX's unredacted Consolidated Opposition to Defendant Norfolk Southern Railway Company's Motions in Limine (the "Opposition"), together with Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 shall be maintained under seal by the Clerk pending further order of the Court. The Clerk is REQUESTED to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____
At Norfolk, Virginia

The Honorable Robert J. Krask
United States Magistrate Judge